

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Underhill
Given Name	Christopher
Person ID	1286689
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Underhill
Given Name	Christopher
Person ID	1286689
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I am not a lawyer but it is plain to see that this is a rebranding exercise to try and build on green belt land. It has been shown through the Save Bamford Greenbelt organisation that this area is used for children/families and supports mental health of the local community. No matter the reasoning you will go ahead and build these executive houses anyway.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The brownfield sites need to be exhausted before building on greenbelt land. The reason you are not building on brownfield sites is because of the expense. Executive homes on greenbelt land = higher council tax. More profit for the companies building. To hell with the environment / local population using these spaces.